


Jack Venrick

From: "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
To: "AJack R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 5:58 PM
Attach: Why Private Property Taxes Are Unconstitutional And Treasonous.eml; A Treatise On The Corruption of the Constitutional Conventions in the Several States.eml; Legitimate vs Illegitimate Sources of Government Revenue.eml; Your Certain Enumerated Unalienable Rights (Corrected).eml
Subject: Tax Takings 101 - How the Constitution was Taken



Dan -


It was great chatting with you and sharing a dinner table at the Citizens Alliance For Property Rights banquet. As promised here is the tax information you asked me to send. No doubt more than you want to know. Few ask, fewer still want to know and even fewer understand what really happen in 1776.

At the same time I feel compelled to give you a short background of myself so when you read below you will be less inclined to shoot the messenger. I was raised in Montana in a government family. Dad was a very proud U.S. Department of Ag. Forest Ranger for the Helena and Beaverhead National Forests in Montana and at the end of his career a Ranger Conservationist for the Gallatin National Forest around Bozeman Montana. He was raised on farms in Missouri and homesteaded in Montana near Forsythia, Custer and Broadview near Billings Montana.

Mom was born in a log cabin out of Saco Montana. Her Dad was an educated man who moved out west starting as the Saco Great Northern railroad station agent and telegrapher then becoming a land owner hiring out a 12 mule team to cut some of the irrigation ditches NE Montana, then business owner of a floral nursery in Great Falls and then an inventor of a wreath making machine and business in Trenton New Jersey.

My wife Stephanie is a manager for the City of Seattle. Her groups manage the voice and data systems for some 10,000 City of Seattle employees.


After 5 years of research I have changed my belief system from a conservative hawk to an independent free agent. I have spent the last 5 years trying to understand why I don't own my land anymore after I accidentally found out I didn't, i.e. the CAO taking in 2004.



My "march" through history continues. I hope you find this spurs your desire to learn more because the devil is buried in the details by the political lawyers, and "scientists", bankers, bench, bar and brokers who all profit from the taking of private and public property.

ABSTRACT - Basics Tax Takings 101

1. **The American tax system violates its own founding AND fundamental laws**
2. **A legitimate government has legitimate sources of revenue and assets**
3. **Illegitimate forms of taking are NOT necessary,**
 - **In fact illegitimate takings destroy the country and its people**
4. **Natural born American state Citizens are sovereign and free agents**
 - **by their own founding and fundamental charters**
5. **The American system of government as it was designed and as it has become**
 - **conflicts and denies its own founding and**




fundamental charters

6. **Learn your natural rights, natural law & fundamental laws so this will be obvious to you**
7. **The American and world government systems are based on tyranny..**
 - **Which we have grown to accept as natural**
 - **This is no accident**
8. **Americans must wake up quickly**
 - **We are not in Kansas anymore because we have never been in Kansas**
 - **The American system of government is "not corrupt" because**
 - **The final signed off design was flawed off the drawing board**

What I found is that the entire American tax system is fraudulent and is based on NO founding or fundamental laws.

1. Property taxes must be apportioned by every man, women and child per the Constitution,



- There are at least 4 high state courts so reconfirming as if this is not clear but yet it goes ignored.
- **ALL WHILE** the U.S. Constitution is in great conflict with its own Bill of Rights & the fundamentals laws,
 - i.e. "The Laws of Nature and Natures God"
- <http://www.freedomforallseasons.org/FreedomFromTaxesNew.dwt.asp>

2. So called "income" taxes do NOT apply to wages which are private property. "Income" taxes are profit and gain only. The high courts have ruled on this many times but this too is ignored. Plus there is NO law and the IRS cannot produce it. The 16th Amendment never received the necessary 3/4 votes of the then 48 states. The lame duck Secretary Knox pushed it through anyway. 12 states did ratify it, 13 states did not and 23 states violated their own constitutions including fraud with miscellaneous error. Even adding the 23 states who voted with "errors" and adding the 12 states who did only gives 35 which is short of the 36 necessary. And then the 16th Amendment was only to tax corporation gain and profit NOT individual, family or partnership wages.


3. Sales taxes cannot be directly applied upon the natural born state Citizens because a sales tax is an indirect excise tax which cannot by definition be applied directly on the Citizens at the till. The businesses and corporations must eat the tax and pass it through in their pricing if they so choose.

4. So called "Constitutional Direct Taxes" were never approved

- While the Articles of Confederation were adopted unanimously by the several Republics and Commonwealths there were NO forced tax takings.
 - The Constitutional Convention recommendations never were voted on by the people or their legislators as you will read above.
 - The Bill of Rights was not allowed to be incorporated as articles in the original "ratification" of nine of the 13 republics/commonwealths.
 - It was not allowed in with a promise to bring in later as amendments
 - Thus the "Supreme Law of the Land" is the Bill of Rights NOT the Constitution.
 - The Federalist, lawyers, bankers and merchants wanted a strong central government to collect their credit




- The Constitution never received unanimous approval initially and thus the final Article VII
 - i.e. "The Ratification of the Conventions of nine States shall be sufficient..."
 - The other three descending republics/commonwealths were pressured in by exclusionary politics.
- The Independent Colonial Republics and Commonwealths epitomized the loathing of regressive direct taxes
 - The majority didn't want direct taxes at all and the others greatly restricted them, see summary research tabled below
 - NONE THE LESS - the Federalist illegitimately added it to the Constitution AND misrepresented the intent
 - The Bill of Rights is in Conflict & Denial of any direct taxing
 - The "Constitution" is not only defective it is only an "agreement" among the several states to charter a union
 - The Constitution has no jurisdiction or authority over the natural born sovereign & free state Citizens
 - The supremacy of the federal court system created by the Federalist was greatly feared by those who carried any obligations
 - The new American system of "government" was created by the creditors to better collect and punish the debtors, i.e. farmers, et al.
- Some 13,000 urban types were sequestered to push the Constitutional "convention" through
 - This is out of some 3 million early American colonialist
 - This is an elite minority within a minority of only property owner educated or wealth based men
 - The majority of the 3 million early American's could not vote
 - The convention results were not submitted to popular vote
 - The Constitution was not submitted to popular ratification
 - A large number of the several states engaged in electioneering, i.e. reselecting those who would/could vote
 - As much as 20% of the Massachusetts adult males were shut out
 - Far more were disfranchised through apathy and lack of understanding
 - In Connecticut in 1775, only 3477 voters took part out of a population of nearly 200,000 of whom 40, 797 were males over 20
 - In Massachusetts, 3% or about 1/5 to 1/6 of those entitled to vote took part in the first election in the autumn of 1780.
 - During the next 6 years the figures remained around 2% only
 - Growing up to 6% in the mid 1780's



- then dropping to 3 to 4% by 1994.
 - In New York
 - New York was overwhelmingly against the ratification of the Constitution
 - However, the popular vote in favor of ratification of the Constitution was largely confined to the urban centers
 - There was a self interest in voting for the new system to protect holders of government securities
 - New York represented themselves at the Convention with 57 votes, 30 FOR Ratification, 27 Against
 - YET the anti-Federalists elected nearly twice as many delegates
 - 11, 230 Anti-federalist votes vs. 5896 Federalist votes for delegates
 - THE DISTRIBUTION OF REPRESENTATION IN THE NEW YORK CONVENTION WAS
 - GROSSLY UNEQUAL AND DECIDEDLY UNFAVORABLE TO THE ANTI-FEDERALISTS
 - In Pennsylvania
 - the whole convention had been elected by 13,000 votes out of some 70,000 entitled to vote
 - 2/3 of the delegates who thought proper to ratify were elected by only 6800 freemen.
- The source of this data is mostly from Charles A. Beard book "An Economic Interpretation of the Constitution of the United States"

This table is a summary of the Elliot Debates material regarding direct taxes

The Debates in The Several State Conventions	State Delegates	Number of	The 10 States Statements Found in
Regarding The Direct Tax (1786-1788)	Recorded	States	Elliot Debate Material
(Commonly Referred To As The Elliot Debates)	Speaking Out	Voting	Defining Position on Direct Tax
No Direct Taxes At All	8	5	Massachusetts + Connecticut + Virginia + North Carolina + Rhode Island
No Direct Taxes unless War Or Certain Extraordinary Emergencies (1)	5	3	Massachusetts + Connecticut + Virginia + New York
No Direct Taxes unless Insufficient Revenue & If State is Delinquent	2	6	Maryland + New York + Virginia + New Hampshire + So. Carolina
No Direct Taxes unless Insufficient Revenue	2	3	Maryland + Mass + New York
Direct Taxes only with General Limitations & Reservations	2	2	Pennsylvania + Virginia
Total	19	(2)	
(1) 2 votes of the 5 expressed grave concern even used for war			
(2) Sum not applicable due to colony voting for more that one method			Compiled by J. R. Vanick



- You can scan the attached report titled "A Treatise On The Corruption of the Constitutional Conventions in the Several States" above and get the drift.
 - You should not be surprised how the Constitution was stamped through by an elite urban minority at the expense of the rural farmers.
 - The "eligible" voters at the time were males over 19 who owned property.
 - The majority of the population were not allowed to vote, i.e. slaves, indentured servants, women and unqualified men
 - Also Charles A. Beard in " An Economic Interpretation of the Constitution of the US" makes a strong case that the "Founding Fathers" were significant investors in government bonds, i.e. they had an incentive to created a strong central government to keep their estates.
 - Ralph Boryszewski author of "The Constitution That Never Was", a retired 91 year old Rochester NY cop, makes a convincing case the lawyers subverted the separation clause.
 - The majority of the signers were lawyers and some were trained in England.
 - The colonist hated English law as you can imagine, e.g. "Contempt of Court".
 - The idea that the majority of early Americans wanted a federal court system over them is ludicrous.
 - Americans have been blinded by the state school systems and the Bar associations
 - The laws and monetary systems not unlike what early Americans ran from, where thrown back up on the shore
 - by the same tides that the Mayflower and all the other early European voyagers came on

5. The Federal Zone

- This chart below represent another key to understanding what has happen to our property rights and traditional way of life. This represents a brilliant work of Paul Mitchell of Spokane Washington. His site and his book "The Federal Zone" have become a generic definition of government, <http://www.supremelaw.org/fedzone11/index.htm>.
- This 212 page document says
 - "A natural born are FREE & SOVEREIGN state Citizens who are aliens to "United States of America (corporation). The government and those who control them have created a fictional layer of taking over the natural born state Citizens to take everything they have.



	Citizen of the "United States"	Alien
Resident	A resident federal citizen living in the Federal Zone - e.g. a federal employee living in D.C. or anyone of the U.S. territories or on a military base	Resident alien living in a Federal Zone - e.g. non federal state Citizen living on a military base, D.C. or anyone of the U.S. territories
Nonresident	A federal citizen living in a non Federal Zone - e.g. a federal employee living in a sovereign state	A natural born free & sovereign state Citizen living in one of the several sovereign states

6. The Bottom line is "American government" and its Constitution are not just in conflict with, but are in denial of the Bill of Rights and the "Laws of Nature and Nature's God".

7. To see this taking another way, look at the Natural Law, Natural Rights and Your own unalienable rights.

- YOUR Natural Law & Natural Rights are here - <http://www.freedomforallseasons.org/NaturalLawAndNaturalRights.dwt.asp>
- YOUR Unalienable Rights/Birthrights are here - <http://www.freedomforallseasons.org/UnalienableRightsNew.dwt.asp>
- Simply put
 - God created bodies are sovereign, supreme and free
 - Man created bodies (public and private) are artificial legal fiction and are NOT

Priority	Majority Rule Democracy	Constitutional Republic
1	Global Elite	Creator
2	Majority	Individual
3	Government	Constitution
4	Public Servants	Government
5	Case & Statute Law	Public Servants
6	Corporations	Statute Law
7	Individual	Corporations



Sincerely,

Jack R. Venrick
Enumclaw, Washington
The Boeing Company (30 years retired)
B.S. Electrical Engineering
M.S. Applied Science
Industrial Engineering
Business Administration
Montana State University